





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/863,078	05/22/2001	Takeyoshi Ikeura	36856.801	9017
7	590 11/15/2002			
KEATING & BENNETT, LLP			EXAMINER	
10400 Eaton P Suite 312	lace		NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
Fairfax, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/863,078

Applicant(s)

ikeura et al.

Examiner

Tuyen T. Nguyen

Art Unit **2832** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	
	for Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM
		no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
_	, date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t	• •
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-20</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	Is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  To this Office action.  The provided by the Examiner.  The provided by the Examiner.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner. DEST AVAILABLE COPY
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•
13) X	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	fiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ()	All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:	
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
🗀	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	ent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Tetaniau Summan (PTO 413) Papar Ne/a)
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
_	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/863,078

Art Unit: 2832

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

claimed invention:

- Embodiment 1:

figures 1-2;

- Embodiment 2:

figure 3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution

on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be

allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Page 2

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims

are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of

an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant

should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious

Application/Control Number: 09/863,078

Art Unit: 2832

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tuyen T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0821.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Elvin Enad, can be reached at (703) 308-7619. The fax number for this Group is (703)872-9318 before the final office action, if the response is after final office action the fax number is (703)872-9319.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application of proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TTN TTV November 8, 2002

Trujen T. Ngruyen

Page 3